## § 95.623

surveys or reviews, which may include but are not limited to:

- (a) Pre-installation readiness. A pre-installation survey including an onsite evaluation of the physical site and the agency's readiness to productively use the proposed ADP services, equipment or system when installed and operational.
- (b) Post-installation. A review conducted after installation of ADP equipment or systems to assure that the objectives for which FFP was approved are being accomplished.
- (c) *Utilization*. A continuing review of ADP facilities to determine whether or not the ADP equipment or services are being efficiently utilized in support of approved programs or projects.
- (d) Acquisitions not subject to prior approval. Reviews will be conducted on an audit basis to assure that system and equipment acquisitions costing less than \$200,000 or acquisitions exempted from prior approval were made in accordance with Part 92 and the conditions of this subpart and to determine the efficiency, economy and effectiveness of the equipment or service.
- (e) State Agency Maintenance of Service Agreements. The State agency will maintain a copy of each service agreement in its files for Federal review.
- (f) ADP System Security Requirements and Review Process—(1) ADP System Security Requirement. State agencies are responsible for the security of all ADP projects under development, and operational systems involved in the administration of HHS programs. State agencies shall determine the appropriate ADP security requirements based on recognized industry standards or standards governing security of Federal ADP systems and information processing.
- (2) ADP Security Program. State ADP Security requirements shall include the following components:
- (i) Determination and implementation of appropriate security requirements as specified in paragraph (f)(1) of this section.
- (ii) Establishment of a security plan and, as appropriate, policies and procedures to address the following area of ADP security:
- (A) Physical security of ADP resources;

- (B) Equipment security to protect equipment from theft and unauthorized use:
  - (C) Software and data security;
  - (D) Telecommunications security;
  - (E) Personnel security;
- (F) Contingency plans to meet critical processing needs in the event of short or long-term interruption of service:
  - (G) Emergency preparedness; and,
- (H) Designation of an Agency ADP Security Manager.
- (iii) Periodic risk analyses. State agencies must establish and maintain a program for conducting periodic risk analyses to ensure that appropriate, cost effective safeguards are incorporated into new and existing systems. State agencies must perform risk analyses whenever significant system changes occur.
- (3) ADP System Security Reviews. State agencies shall review the ADP system security of installations involved in the administration of HHS programs on a biennial basis. At a minimum, the reviews shall include an evaluation of physical and data security operating procedures, and personnel practices.
- (4) Costs incurred in complying with provisions of paragraphs (f)(1)–(3) of this section are considered regular administrative costs which are funded at the regular match rate.
- (5) The security requirements of this section apply to all ADP systems used by State and local governments to administer programs covered under 45 CFR part 95, subpart F.
- (6) The State agency shall maintain reports of their biennial ADP system security reviews, together with pertinent supporting documentation, for HHS on-site review.

[43 FR 44853, Sept. 29, 1978, as amended at 51 FR 45329, Dec. 18, 1986; 53 FR 27, Jan. 4, 1988; 55 FR 4378, Feb. 7, 1990; 61 FR 39898, July 31, 1996; 75 FR 66340, Oct. 28, 2010]

## § 95.623 Reconsideration of denied FFP for failure to obtain prior approval.

For ADP equipment and services acquired by a State without prior approval, which is reflected in a record, the State may request reconsideration of the disallowance of FFP by written

request to the head of the Federal program office within 30 days of the initial written disallowance determination. In such a reconsideration, the agency may take into account overall federal interests. The Department may grant a request for reconsideration if:

- (a) The State submitted to the Department all information required under §95.611, satisfactorily responded to all concerns raised by the Department and received a final letter of approval from the Department; or,
- (b) The State requests reconsideration of a denial by submitting in a record information that addresses the following requirements:
- (1) The acquisition must be reasonable, useful and necessary;
- (2) The State's failure to obtain prior approval, which is reflected in a record, must have been inadvertent (i.e., the State did not knowingly avoid the prior approval requirements);
- (3) The request was not previously denied by HHS:
- (4) The acquisition must otherwise meet all other applicable Federal and State requirements, and would have been approved under Part 95, Subpart F had the State requested in a record, prior approval;
- (5) The State must not have a record of recurrent failures, under any of the programs covered by the prior approval regulations, to comply with the requirement to obtain prior approval in a record, of its automatic data processing acquisitions (i.e., submissions under these procedures, from States that have failed in the past to acquire prior approval which is reflected in a record, in accordance with Part 95, Subpart F, may be denied);

[51 FR 3339, Jan. 27, 1986, as amended at 55 FR 4378, Feb. 7, 1990; 75 FR 66340, Oct. 28, 2010]

## § 95.624 Consideration for FFP in emergency situations.

For ADP equipment and services acquired by a State after December 1, 1985 to meet emergency situations, which preclude the State from following the requirements of §95.611, the Department will consider providing FFP upon receipt of a request from the State which is reflected in a record. In order for the Department to consider

providing FFP in emergency situations, the following conditions must be met:

- (a) The State must submit a request to the Department, prior to the acquisition of any ADP equipment or services. The request must be reflected in a record, and include:
- (1) A brief description of the ADP equipment and/or services to be acquired and an estimate of their costs;
- (2) A brief description of the circumstances which result in the State's need to proceed prior to obtaining approval from the Department; and
- (3) A description of the harm which will be caused if the State does not acquire immediately the ADP equipment and services.
- (b) Upon receipt of the information, the Department will within 14 days take one of the following actions:
- (1) Inform the State in writing that the request has been disapproved and the reason for disapproval; or
- (2) Inform the State in a communication reflected in a record, that the Department recognizes that an emergency exists and that within 90 days from the date of the State's initial request, the State must submit a formal request for approval which includes the information specified at §95.611 in order for the ADP equipment or services acquisition to be considered for the Department's approval.
- (c) If the Department approves the request submitted under paragraph (b) of this section, FFP will be available from the date the State acquires the ADP equipment and services.

[51 FR 3339, Jan. 27, 1986, as amended at 55 FR 4378, Feb. 7, 1990; 75 FR 66340, Oct. 28, 2010]

## § 95.625 Increased FFP for certain ADP systems.

- (a) General. FFP is available at enhanced matching rates for the development of individual or integrated systems and the associated computer equipment that support the administration of State plans for Titles IV-D, IV-E, and/or XIX provided the systems meet the specifically applicable provisions referenced in paragraph (b) of the section.
- (b) Specific reference to other regulations. The applicable regulations for